

## The new report of the Committee on Climate Change

Jim Skea

**EPRG Winter Research Seminar** 

Judge Business School 11 December 2009

## www.theccc.org.uk

Independent advice to Government on building a low-carbon economy





First Annual report to Parliament

- (i) Introduction recap on carbon budgets and 2050 target
- (ii) Progress in reducing emissions
- (iii) Impact of the recession on emissions relative to carbon budgets
- (iv) Indicators of progress and policies required
  - Power, Energy use in buildings, Transport
- (v) Future work of the Committee

A few slides on the aviation report





#### Monitoring framework: forward indicators as well as emission results

Impact of recession: distinguishing cyclical from underlying trends

Fine tuning estimates of feasible emissions reductions

Trends over last five years (2003-2007) compared with reductions now required





### (i) The budgets put the UK on a path to reducing emissions by 80% by 2050





679 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e

Independent advice to Government on building a low-carbon economy





#### UK GHG emissions 1990-2007 **Other GHGs** $CO_2$ MtCO<sub>2</sub>e Non-CO<sub>2</sub> GHGs 49% below 1990 levels CO<sub>2</sub> 8% below 1990 levels 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2003 2003 2005 2005 2005 2005 Total GHGs 18% lower

Independent advice to Government on building a low-carbon economy







CO<sub>2</sub> emissions fell 0.5% annually 2003-07

Cuts of **2-3%** p.a. are required through first three budgets

A major shift in the pace of reduction is therefore required across all sectors

ii) Required progress in major sectors





Independent advice to Government on building a low-carbon economy

ω





Estimates of recession impact vary, but likely that **first budget** could be met *purely* as a result of recession, with **limited emissions reduction effort** 

However, ambitious **implementation of measures needed now** to lay the foundation for **deeper cuts** in later periods



Recommendation: aim to out-perform first budget, and do not bank for use in future periods







Independent advice to Government on building a low-carbon economy

# (iii) Recession and the need to strengthen the carbon price



The recession has had **major impact** on EU ETS price

We now project the 2020 price at **€20-30/tCO**<sub>2</sub> compared to €50/tCO<sub>2</sub> in our previous report)



**Recommendation**: Consider options to **strengthen the price**, e.g. **tightening EU ETS cap**, a UK **carbon price underpin** or intervention in the **electricity market arrangements** 



The Committee's **indicators** comprise measures and policies (i.e. strengthening of existing policies / introduction of new policies) to **drive emissions reductions** in:

- Power
- Energy use in buildings and industry
- Transport

Not fixed targets, but a framework for:

- Identifying whether combination of measures is on track
- Spotting early signals of slippage

The Committee will use the indicators for its **annual assessments of progress** as required under the Climate Change Act

## iv) Power is central to wider economy decarbonisation



(TWh) Carbon-intensity of electricity 600 600 The electrification of other sectors will see 500 500 **Total electricity generation** demand increase in 400 300 200 **g**200 400 2020s and 2030s 300 200 Therefore we need to 100 100 significantly decarbonise electricity 0 0 generation by 2030 2010 2015 2020 2025 2030 2035 2040 2045 2050 ----Carbon-intensity ----Total generation

## iv) Over next decade we need to deliver significant investment in low-carbon generation



We present an indicative scenario in which, by 2020 we see:

- 23 GW new wind
- Up to 4 new coal CCS demonstrators
- Up to 2 new nuclear plants, a third by 2022







#### **Commissioned reports:**

- Poyry Energy Consulting (2009) Carbon Capture and Storage: Milestones to deliver large-scale deployment by 2030 in the UK
- Redpoint Energy (2009) Decarbonising the GB power sector.
- Poyry Energy Consulting (2009) Timeline for wind generation to 2020 and a set of progress indicators.

#### Participation in multi-client study:

• Poyry Energy Consulting (2009) Impact of Intermittency: How wind variability could change the shape of the British and Irish electricity markets





#### The Government approach:

- Support for CCS demonstration (up to 4 by 2020)
- Review in 2020 of whether technically viable and economically proven at prevailing CO<sub>2</sub> price
- Possible limits for unabated coal generation

#### The Committee recommends:

- Support for CCS accelerated demonstration (3 or 4 operational by 2015/16)
- Early review of technical viability and required financial support for next phase of investment (e.g. no later than 2016 – to support next investments from 2018)
- Very strong signal that there will be no role for unabated coal beyond early 2020s

# iv) Example of indicators - trajectory for new wind capacity entering construction and operation





0.5

We monitor progress of all stages of project cycle (e.g. planning, grid access, construction) in deploying up to **23 GW** of new wind by 2020 (**27 GW** in total)



## iv) Will the current power market arrangements deliver?

Committee on Climate Change

**Social** and **private** risk are not aligned:

- **Society:** Costs of alternative low carbon technologies?
- **Private investors**: Fossil fuel prices, carbon prices, electricity prices, technology costs?

Our analysis suggests that in a **risky, uncertain world**, even with very high carbon prices, the market may not deliver necessary low-carbon investment, resulting in **high emissions intensity** (and high costs for consumers).





**Committee recommends a review** of the regulatory and market arrangements governing the power sector

#### 3 sets of options:

- Carbon price strengthening (e.g. underpin)
- Measures to provide confidence about price for low-carbon generation (e.g. Feedin tariffs, tendering for generation)
- Measures to ensure investment in low carbon capacity (e.g. low-carbon obligation, emissions performance standard)

Review to be **carried out in 2010**, in parallel with understanding implications of Copenhagen, to allow new arrangements in time for investment decisions





Government ambition:

- **12% renewable heat penetration** by 2020, compared to current 1%
- Can make useful contribution to carbon budgets (up to 18 MtCO<sub>2</sub>)
- But could be very expensive at the margin

Policy needs to:

- Prioritise most **cost-effective options** (e.g. heat pumps in off-gas grid homes, biomass combined heat and power)
- Raise **consumer awareness** of renewable heat options
- Develop a **portfolio of options** for possible roll-out in the 2020s

### iv) Electric car policies



- Models expected to come to market in next few years.
- Scope for substantial **battery cost** reduction.
- Government has committed price support of £2,000-5,000 per car totalling £230 million; CCC analysis suggests up to £800 million may be required.
- Government support for development of charging infrastructure is required.
- Pilot projects targeting 240,000 cars in 2015, on way to 1.7 million in 2020.
- Limited impacts on power networks to 2020.







Our analysis has led us to two important conclusions:

Recession induced emissions reductions could:

- Produce over rosy impression of progress
- Undermine long-term progress through lower carbon price

Recent progress (2003-2007) far slower than we now require

• Step change essential





Three areas where new approaches are required:

Electricity and carbon markets

**Residential energy efficiency** 

Support for electric car penetration





- **C** UK aviation review (8<sup>th</sup> December 2009)
- Advice on Scottish targets (February 2010)
- Progress report to Parliament (June 2010)
- Review of low carbon R&D (Summer 2010)
- Recommendation on the cap for 2<sup>nd</sup> Phase of the Carbon Reduction Commitment (Autumn 2010)
- Advice on the fourth budget, including review of latest science and implications of Copenhagen (December 2010)