Is mandating smart meters smart?

Thomas-Olivier Léautier

Toulouse School of Economics

EPRG Spring Seminar May 2012

.

• *Context:* policy makers worldwide mandate installation of smart grids, announcing significant demand management benefits

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ 国 ト ・ 国 ト … 国

- *Context:* policy makers worldwide mandate installation of smart grids, announcing significant demand management benefits
- *This analysis:* estimation of (long-term) marginal net surplus from producing and consuming electricity (i.e., lower consumption, lower emission, and lower required peak capacity) as a function of the share of customers facing and reacting to spot prices, using data from French power "system"

> < B> < B> = B

- *Context:* policy makers worldwide mandate installation of smart grids, announcing significant demand management benefits
- *This analysis:* estimation of (long-term) marginal net surplus from producing and consuming electricity (i.e., lower consumption, lower emission, and lower required peak capacity) as a function of the share of customers facing and reacting to spot prices, using data from French power "system"
- Main finding: marginal net surplus is steeply decreasing as customer size decreases, and is worth only around 10 €/customer/year for customer size less than 36 kV (compared to cost of meter installation around 25 €/customer/year)

▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ …

- *Context:* policy makers worldwide mandate installation of smart grids, announcing significant demand management benefits
- *This analysis:* estimation of (long-term) marginal net surplus from producing and consuming electricity (i.e., lower consumption, lower emission, and lower required peak capacity) as a function of the share of customers facing and reacting to spot prices, using data from French power "system"
- Main finding: marginal net surplus is steeply decreasing as customer size decreases, and is worth only around 10 €/customer/year for customer size less than 36 kV (compared to cost of meter installation around 25 €/customer/year)
- Policy implications:

- 4 E M 4 E M

- *Context:* policy makers worldwide mandate installation of smart grids, announcing significant demand management benefits
- *This analysis:* estimation of (long-term) marginal net surplus from producing and consuming electricity (i.e., lower consumption, lower emission, and lower required peak capacity) as a function of the share of customers facing and reacting to spot prices, using data from French power "system"
- Main finding: marginal net surplus is steeply decreasing as customer size decreases, and is worth only around 10 €/customer/year for customer size less than 36 kV (compared to cost of meter installation around 25 €/customer/year)
- Policy implications:
 - Should we not refine cost-benefit analysis before spending billions?

《曰》 《問》 《臣》 《臣》

- *Context:* policy makers worldwide mandate installation of smart grids, announcing significant demand management benefits
- *This analysis:* estimation of (long-term) marginal net surplus from producing and consuming electricity (i.e., lower consumption, lower emission, and lower required peak capacity) as a function of the share of customers facing and reacting to spot prices, using data from French power "system"
- Main finding: marginal net surplus is steeply decreasing as customer size decreases, and is worth only around 10 €/customer/year for customer size less than 36 kV (compared to cost of meter installation around 25 €/customer/year)
- Policy implications:
 - Should we not refine cost-benefit analysis before spending billions?
 What is the business case for a "smart electricity" supplier?

《曰》 《問》 《臣》 《臣》

- *Context:* policy makers worldwide mandate installation of smart grids, announcing significant demand management benefits
- *This analysis:* estimation of (long-term) marginal net surplus from producing and consuming electricity (i.e., lower consumption, lower emission, and lower required peak capacity) as a function of the share of customers facing and reacting to spot prices, using data from French power "system"
- Main finding: marginal net surplus is steeply decreasing as customer size decreases, and is worth only around 10 €/customer/year for customer size less than 36 kV (compared to cost of meter installation around 25 €/customer/year)
- Policy implications:
 - Should we not refine cost-benefit analysis before spending billions?
 - What is the business case for a "smart electricity" supplier?
 - If total benefits from smart meters exceed the total costs, how do we allocate these?

Average customer size decreases rapidly

4 customers classes, following the Commission de Régulation de l'Energie (CRE)

Typologie des sites



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

3

- Joskow and Tirole (2007) model: optimal investment, spot and retail prices, and rationing, when a fraction of customers α faces wholesale spot price, and (1α) faces a constant retail price
- Equivalent to perfectly competitive long-term outcome
- Uncertain demand. Infinite number of possible states of the world, indexed by t ≥ 0
- A single demand profile for all customers
- N generation technologies, with marginal cost c_n (increasing in n) and investment cost r_n (decreasing in n)
- Related literature: Borenstein (2005), Allcott (2010)

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨ

- Rationing price reactive customers never optimal
- Constant price customers are rationed if and only if their Value of Lost Load (VoLL) is equal to (or lower than) p(t), the wholesale spot price in state t
- The optimal retail price is the weighted average wholesale price, where the weights are the marginal "rationed demand"
- Total installed capacity determined by (r_N, c_N) and demand. Installed capacity for technology n < N, determined by (r_n, c_n) and (r_{n+1}, c_{n+1})
- Solution \mathbf{S} Expected price independent of α :

$$\mathbb{E}\left[p\left(t\right)\right] = c_1 + r_1$$

Increasing the share of price reactive customers increases net surplus

 A marginal increase in α transforms customers facing a constant price into customers facing the marginal cost of production in every state of the world



- Linear inverse demand: $P(q, t) = a_0 a_1 e^{-\lambda_2 t} bq$
- Exponential distribution of the states of the world: $f\left(t
 ight)=\lambda_{1}e^{-\lambda_{1}t}$
- $\left(a_0, a_1, \lambda = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}, b\right)$ calibrated using the average elasticity of demand η for a given price δ , and the 2009 French load duration curve
- Provides (almost) closed form expressions for optimal investment, prices, and marginal surplus $W'(\alpha)$
- Base case η = -0.05 at price δ = 100 €/MWh, from Lijesen (2007). Upper estimate from Patrick and Wolak (1997) using UK data, and much higher than Lijesen (2007) own estimate on Dutch data, consistent with estimates from California experiment (Faruqui (2006)). Robustness check with η = -0.1.

◆□▶ ◆課 ▶ ◆注 ▶ ◆注 ▶ ○注 ○ のへの

Actual vs. fitted demand



Actual vs. fitted 2009 demand in France

actual demand —ftted demand

3

- $\bullet\,$ Two technologies: nuclear plants (n=1) and gas turbines (n=2)
- Cost provided by IAE (2010)

	1	2
C _n	10.99	71.56
r _n	34.16	6.00

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ 国 ト ・ 国 ト … 国

Number of sites and demand share data by customers class

Customers broken down in 4 classes, following the Commission de Régulation de l'Energie (CRE)



Typologie des sites

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

• Assume customer size (in MWh) constant within each class

α (%)	(0, 43)	(43, 58)	(58, 68)	(68, 100)
$\frac{\delta\alpha}{(\%/user)}$	1.24×10^{-5}	4.31×10^{-7}	2.21×10^{-8}	1.07×10^{-8}

• Marginal surplus per customer is $\delta W(\alpha) = W'(\alpha) \,\delta \alpha$. Discontinuous at the boundaries between classes. Define $\delta W^{-}(\alpha) = W'(\alpha) \,\delta \alpha$ for $\delta \alpha < 0$ and $\delta W^{+}(\alpha) = W'(\alpha) \,\delta \alpha$ for $\delta \alpha > 0$

Marginal benefits from switching for base elasticity estimate...

α (%)	15	43	58	68	100
K_1/Q^{∞} (%)	59.3	59.3	59.3	59.3	59.4
K_2/Q^{∞} (%)	95.7	92.7	91.6	90.9	89.1
$W'(\alpha)$ (\in millions/year)	688	436	389	366	324
δW^{-} (\in /user/year)	8512	5386	168	8	3
$\delta W^+ \ (\in / {\sf user} / {\sf year})$	8512	188	9	4	n/a

(本部) (本語) (本語) (三語

α (%)	16	43	58	68	100
K_1/Q^{∞} (%)	61.1	61.2	61.2	61.3	61.4
K_2/Q^{∞} (%)	95.6	91.7	90.2	89.2	86.7
$ \begin{array}{c} W'\left(\alpha\right)\\ (\in millions/year) \end{array} $	981	681	620	592	533
δW^{-} (\in /user/year)	12 129	8 419	268	13	6
$\delta W^+ \ (\in / { t user} / { t year})$	12 129	294	14	6	n/a

・ロト ・個ト ・ヨト ・ヨト 三臣

- Cost of a real time meter estimated at €250, assumed independent of the characteristics of the site where the meter is installed, in particular peak-demand.
- Assuming a cost of capital at 10%, the annualized cost of each meter is 25 €/meter/year.

- Preliminary analysis suggests switching to real time pricing generates net surplus from consumption estimated around 10 €/customer/year for small customers. If confirmed, this finding would challenge the smart meters business case for these customers.
- Additional analysis is required, that will:
 - develop and test other specifications for demand and uncertainty
 - apply to other markets
 - incorporate market power (Allcott (2010))