



New business models for the energy transition

CEEPR & EPRG European Energy Policy Conference

EVOLVING BUSINESS MODELS IN THE ELECTRICITY SECTOR

Fabien Roques, Université Paris Dauphine and FTI-CL Energy

Paris – 07 July 2016

FTI Consulting overview

Overview

- Global business advisory firm established in 1982
- c.4,000 staff across 24 countries
- Dedicated to helping organisations protect and enhance enterprise value

Global reach

FTI·CL Energy



History & scale

- Established in 1982
- >US\$ 1.5 billion revenues, NYSE listed
- >4,000 staff across 24 countries on six continents

Services

- Five divisions:
 - 1. Economic Consulting
 - 2. Corporate Finance / Restructuring
 - 3. Forensic & Litigation Consulting
 - 4. Technology
 - 5. Strategic Communications

Introduction: The death of the traditional business model of utilities in Europe

New business models are emerging upstream and downstream on the value chain

Which changes to market design to enable the energy transition and limit distortions?

Conclusions





Introduction: The death of the traditional business model of utilities in Europe



ROCE and WACC for European utilities, 2008 to 2015

The profitability of the traditional business model of utilities has fallen in recent years, as margins

upstream have collapsed following the drop in power prices.

This led to > 100 Bn€ of impairments but it is not just a transitional trend as the market rebalances, but a structural issue that will undermine sustainably investment in generation.

Ene **FTI**·CL





New business models emerging upstream and downstream on the value chain



Upstream, new business models are emerging to monetize distributed generation, storage, and demand response

Business model application to the value chain



Downstream, utilities are moving toward the energy service company model

Energy service companies Making demand management services as well as cleaner and more resilient power options available to all electricity consumers is core to all new energy business models.

Optimizing customer participation

Providing energy management products and services

Aggregating customers

Offering energy value-added services

FTI·CL

- Understand behavior patterns
- Increase customer awareness through products
- Identify incentives and technologies to increase customers' ability to manage energy bills
- Provide bill management services
- Expand energy management services to small commercial and residential customers, e.g. building management systems, demand-response and energy efficiency programs, behind the meter distributed energy resources such as solar PV, micro-wind turbines and battery storage
- Increase customers' participation and decrease transaction costs through aggregation, e.g in communities (municipal, community, commercial, non-profit)
- Support community and multi-family based renewal energy projects, e.g. sponsorship of micro-grid projects or community-based distributed energy generation projects
- Support "buy local" green power initiatives



Downstream, new platforms are likely to emerge to coordinate distributed system operation, ESCOs, and prosumers



Traditional role of network operators and utilities as system optimizers will need to be reconciled with emergence of new platforms

Multiple platforms may co-exist / compete:

- To capture value associated with system optimization of decentralized resources
- ■To develop new services for active consumers (Prosumers)
- To provide coordination signals for system planning and operations

Key challenge is to limit "des-optimisation of energy system":





Which changes to market design to enable the energy transition and limit distortions?



Adapting market design for the change of context and policy objectives

Context of the 2010s

- <u>Policy priorities</u>: Decarbonization and security of supply
- <u>Technology</u>: growth of decentralised generation, storage (all capital intensive)
- <u>Networks</u>: Deployment of smart networks and technologies
- <u>Market</u>: focus on consistency between retail and wholesale market

FTI-CL En



Context of the 1990s and early 2000s

- Policy priority: focus on EU market integration
- <u>Technology</u>: dominance of variable costs technologies with economies of scale
- <u>Networks:</u> Optimization of use of pre-existing infrastructure
- <u>Market:</u> Focus on wholesale market (initially day ahead)

- Current European market model and regulatory framework were designed in a different context
- Market design needs to evolve to address key issue of consistency between retail and wholesale markets, e.g. retail pricing, network charges, tax arbitrage opportunities



Upstream (wholesale) market design: which signals / drivers of short-term dispatch and long-term investment coordination?

- Power prices are a decentralised coordination mechanism:
- Short term Efficient dispatch of all generation units based on variable costs
- Long term Signal retirement or new investment, trigger new entrants
- In practice, price signals are distorted by a range of additional mechanisms:
 - Most markets are hybrids with some form of regulatory interventions
 - Public intervention differs depending on objective, type of intervention and risk allocation
- Key objective of sound market design is to limit distortions of price signals and establish sound coordination mechanisms for efficient system investment / operation





FTI·CL Energy

Downstream (retail) market design: which price signals for prosumers?

The evolution of retail market design and the relevant price signals for consumers could be very different depending on the following drivers:

Prosumer attitude / engagement toward electricity

- status and life-style;
- the gamification of energy supply;
- an "early adopter" attitude towards energy technology; and
- the positive image associated with autogeneration.

Commodity vs. service pricing approach

The energy transition could transform the retail energy supply into a service-oriented good, rather than a commodity

ide /engagement Intense	Prosumer market with consistent retail/wholesale price	Prosumer market with grid and back-up flexible generation remunerated as infrastructure
Prosumers attitu Low	Dichotomy between wholesale and retail markets	Market signals only relevant for intermediaries and suppliers
	Pricing and consumption of electricity	
	Commodity	Services



FTI·CL Energy

Distribution network tariffs: a wide range of approaches in Europe





<u>Source</u>: European Commission (2015), "Study on tariff design for distribution systems" <u>https://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/documents/20150313%20Tariff%20report%20</u> CHAIRE EUROPEAN ELECTRICITY MARKETS

Taxes and levies represent a growing share of the retail energy bill and create opportunities for arbitrage



EU 28 - Average power retail price, change between 2008 and 2014

Levies to support energy transition (renewables, energy efficiency, etc.) create growing arbitrage opportunities between wholesale / retail markets, as well as unsustainable cross-subsidies between categories of consumers

A radical rethink of energy taxation and funding for decarbonization is needed (e.g. finance some of the levies for renewables through general budget as these are public goods and reduce/remove taxes on production and raise taxes on electricity consumption (VAT))



Source : « Retail pricing for a cost-effective transition to a lowcarbon power system », *Eurelectric* (2016)





Conclusions



Conclusion: consistency across retail and wholesale market price signals is key

Three EU legislative packages in the 1990s and 2000s established the internal electricity market:

- Mostly focused on <u>wholesale</u> market integration; level playing field for large scale generators (e.g. harmonization of network injection charges, non discriminatory network access)
- ■Recent policy interventions (support for RES, capacity mechanism for security of supply, etc.) undermine the ability of power prices to act as coordination signal on the wholesale market

Rise of prosumers and decentralized resources is a radical disruption that requires fundamental rethink of approach for market design:

- ■Auto producers / DSR use retail price as relevant benchmark for operation / investment
- ■Key objective should thus be to ensure consistency across retail and wholesale market price signals:
 - Design market rules to avoid perverse incentives / opportunistic arbitrage (e.g. net metering, etc.)
 - -This requires reform of: 1/network charges, 2/ levies and taxes on electricity

Network charges need to evolve in order to: 1/ Reflect changing cost structure and increase weigh of fixed charge; and 2/ Provide geographically differentiated dynamic price signals for consumers

Energy taxation and funding for decarbonization: Time for a radical rethink?

FTI-CL Er

- Finance some of the levies for renewables and possibly security of supply through general budget as these are public goods; and
- Reduce/remove taxes on production and raise taxes on electricity consumption (VAT)



Thank you for your attention

Fabien Roques Senior Vice President FTI - COMPASS LEXECON

froques@compasslexecon.com



Ener

FTI·CL

Fabien Roques Associate Professor Université Paris Dauphine

fabien.roques@dauphine.fr



17

DISCLAIMER

The authors and the publisher of this work have checked with sources believed to be reliable in their efforts to provide information that is complete and generally in accord with the standards accepted at the time of publication. However, neither the authors nor the publisher nor any other party who has been involved in the preparation or publication of this work warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from use of such information. The authors and the publisher expressly disclaim any express or implied warranty, including any implied warranty of merchantability or fitness for a specific purpose, or that the use of the information contained in this work is free from intellectual property infringement. This work and all information are supplied "AS IS." Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The information provided herein is not intended to replace professional advice. The authors and the publisher make no representations or warranties with respect to any action or failure to act by any person following the information offered or provided within or through this work. The authors and the publisher will not be liable for any direct, indirect, consequential, special, exemplary, or other damages arising therefrom. Statements or opinions expressed in the work are those of their respective authors only. The views expressed on this work do not necessarily represent the views of the publisher, its management or employees, and the publisher is not responsible for, and disclaims any and all liability for the content of statements written by authors of this work.