



HAUT-COMMISSAIRE
À L'ÉNERGIE ATOMIQUE

MIT-Cambridge workshop, Paris 07 / 07 / 2016

Energy mix and climate change From Descartes to Alain

Yves BRECHET


*Professeur, Institut Polytechnique, Grenoble
Haut-Commissaire à l'Énergie Atomique
membre de l'Académie des Sciences*

Le bon sens est la chose la mieux partagée car chacun pense en être si bien pourvu, que même ceux qui sont les plus difficiles à contenter en toute autre chose, n'ont point coutume d'en désirer plus qu'ils en ont.

Common sense is the best shared quality because everyone expects to be so well off , that even those who are most difficult to satisfy in everything else , have no desire of more common sense than they have .

Descartes, Discours de la méthode


FIGHTING GLOBAL WARMING: PRODUCING LESS CO₂ for ENERGY ?...beyond claims, facts




Source: EDGAR 4.2FT2010 (JRC/PBL 2012); BP 2014; NBS China 2014; USGS 2014; WSA 2014; NOAA 2012

Energy production and greenhouse gases...

Emissions de gaz à effet de serre de certaines filières énergétiques



ENERGY TRANSITION TOWARD DECARBONATED ELECTRICITY, IN FRANCE...HAS ALREADY OCCURRED...IN 1973 !!!



"Defence": 4	
Transporting stuff: 12 kWh/d	Geothermal: 1 kWh/d
Stuff: 48+ kWh/d	Tide: 11 kWh/d
Food, farming, fertilizer: 15 kWh/d	Wave: 4 kWh/d
Gadgets: 5	Deep offshore wind: 32 kWh/d
Light: 4 kWh/d	Shallow offshore wind: 16 kWh/d
Heating, cooling: 37 kWh/d	Hydro: 1.5 kWh/d
Jet flights: 30 kWh/d	Biomass: food, biofuel, wood, waste incin'n, landfill gas: 24 kWh/d
Car: 40 kWh/d	PV farm (200 m ² /p): 50 kWh/d
	PV, 10 m ² /p: 5
	Solar heating: 13 kWh/d
	Wind: 20 kWh/d

Consumption/
day/person

Maximum energy
production per day per
unit surface

Production per day per
person

Population density



"Defence": 4	Geothermal: 1 kWh/d
Transporting stuff: 12 kWh/d	Tide: 11 kWh/d
Stuff: 48+ kWh/d	Wave: 4 kWh/d
	Deep offshore wind: 32 kWh/d
Food, farming, fertilizer: 15 kWh/d	Shallow offshore wind: 16 kWh/d
Gadgets: 5	Hydro: 1.5 kWh/d
Light: 4 kWh/d	Biomass: food, biofuel, wood, waste incin'n, landfill gas: 24 kWh/d
Heating, cooling: 37 kWh/d	PV farm (200 m ² /p): 50 kWh/d
Jet flights: 30 kWh/d	
Car: 40 kWh/d	PV, 10 m ² /p: 5
	Solar heating: 13 kWh/d
	Wind: 20 kWh/d



Renewables energies Cannot provide the match alone unless a very substantial decrease in energy consumption is enforced

This is true even for a country desindustrialised as UK

"Defence": 4	Geothermal: 1 kWh/d	
Transporting stuff: 12 kWh/d	Tide: 11 kWh/d	Geothermal: 1 kWh/d too immature!
Stuff: 48+ kWh/d	Wave: 4 kWh/d	Wave: 4 kWh/d too expensive!
	Deep offshore wind: 32 kWh/d	Deep offshore wind: 32 kWh/d not near my radar!
Food, farming, fertilizer: 15 kWh/d	Shallow offshore wind: 16 kWh/d	Shallow offshore wind: 16 kWh/d not near my birds!
Gadgets: 5	Hydro: 1.5 kWh/d	Hydro: 1.5 kWh/d not in my valley!
Light: 4 kWh/d	Biomass: food, biofuel, wood, waste incin'n, landfill gas: 24 kWh/d	Biomass: food, biofuel, wood, waste incin'n, landfill gas: 24 kWh/d not in my countryside!
Heating, cooling: 37 kWh/d	PV farm (200 m ² /p): 50 kWh/d	PV farm (200 m ² /p): 50 kWh/d too expensive!
Jet flights: 30 kWh/d		
Car: 40 kWh/d	PV, 10 m ² /p: 5	PV, 10 m ² /p: 5 too expensive!
	Solar heating: 13 kWh/d	Solar heating: 13 kWh/d not on my street!
	Wind: 20 kWh/d	Wind: 20 kWh/d not in my back yard!


Additional acceptability issues

"Defence": 4	Geothermal: 1 kWh/d
Transporting stuff: 12 kWh/d	
Stuff: 48+ kWh/d	Tide: 11 kWh/d
Food, farming, fertilizer: 15 kWh/d	Wave: 4 kWh/d
Gadgets: 5	Deep offshore wind: 32 kWh/d
Light: 4 kWh/d	Shallow offshore wind: 16 kWh/d
Heating, cooling: 37 kWh/d	Hydro: 1.5 kWh/d
Jet flights: 30 kWh/d	Biomass: food, biofuel, wood, waste incin'n, landfill gas: 24 kWh/d
Car: 40 kWh/d	PV farm (200 m ² /p): 50 kWh/d
	PV, 10 m ² /p: 5
	Solar heating: 13 kWh/d
	Wind: 20 kWh/d



Possible energy mix (feasibility) (D.McKay).


plan D




plan N




plan L



plan G



plan E



Reaching a realistic energy mix ?

Space and matter must be available

=> Material intensity index

Things must add up : averaged over the « economic domain », the production must add up to the level of consumption...


⇒ Possible correction due to networks

⇒ Possible corrections due to storage

Is it even feasible ?

1. Materials intensity index





MATERIALS TENSION



Wind turbine 6 MW with rotor >150m
1500 t of steels -
+ Rare earth permanent magnet...
Nd, Dy, Sm, Gd, or Pr



Materials requirements for wind and solar facilities




In 2050 , the cumulative amount of concrete, steel, Al, Cu and glass sequestered In wind and solar facilities will be 2 to 8 times the 2010 total world production

Is it even feasible ?

2. Managing variations in time


Wind fluctuations



Ask from other resources:

time of reaction (destorage) comparable with the fluctuation timescale
they must be available in a distance comparable to the networking

Or you can dream...that other sources will match the difference.



Is it even feasible ?

3. Managing space

RENEWABLE ENERGIES ARE DIFFUSE...


source	Density of energy W/m ²
Eolien	2.5
Solaire PV	5-20
Hydraulique « piscine »	3
Hydraulique « au fil de l'eau »	8
Solar concentration in desert	15-20

NUCLEAR FISSION : 1000 W/m²

AS LONG AS CONCENTRATED ENERGY WILL BE REQUIRED FOR ELECTROINTENSIVE INDUSTRY? RENEWABLE ENERGY WON'T DO THE JOB


MOST OF STORAGE CAPACITIES ARE DIFFUSE

Fig. 1 – Storage technology as defined by capacity and discharge time (autonomy)



Source: IFFEN

SPATIAL CORRELATIONS ARE LONG RANGED



AND NOW?

**After all the wishfull thinking
what can the engineer do?**

storage facilities

Grid density

Grid flexibility

Storage Networks

UNSTABLE

Fluctuating fraction

Guaranteed fraction

Min / max

"Defence": 4
Transporting stuff: 12 kWh/d

Stuff: 48+ kWh/d

Food, farming, fertilizers: 15 kWh/d

Gadgets: 5
Light: 4 kWh/d

Heating, cooling: 37 kWh/d

Jet flights: 30 kWh/d

Car: 40 kWh/d

Intermittent Sources (wind, solar...)

Stable Sources (Nuclear, Hydraulic, Geothermal...)

Grid stability

Maximum energy production per day per unit surface

Production per day per person

Population density

Energy space and materials efficiency

Physics and chemistry limits

Consumption/day/person

Energy use efficiency

DIFFICULT SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL ISSUES TO BE SOLVED IF ONE WANTS TO KEEP A CONCENTRATED PRODUCTION / CONSUMPTION FOR INDUSTRIAL APPLICATIONS

- **De-carbonising the economy seems the most urgent question** to be addressed when considering the risk of global warming

=> The least we can say is that this « goal » is not always clear , it is often mixed up with developing alternative energy » which should be only one of the possible tools and not a goal « per se ».

- **The actions to be taken should be immediately efficient, and the technologies available NOW**, and economically affordable:

=>the development of a new energy vector amounts to change the industrial systems, it seems unrealistic to start by that. Electrifying economy, hybridising transportation seems more realistic than expecting a revolution

- 3x1/3: transport + industry + building: lets find the « big actions »

=> building energy efficiency : reserach should be focussed on insulation and renovation

=> transport : lighter structures, hotter engines , better catalysers

=> Industry: dont forget the need of centralised and continuous energy production. Develop solutions for 100-400C heat management

- Renewable energies is an option, not a prerequisite

=> The key topic is dealing with intermittency

=> Storage and networking are the key issue, before efficiency

* **Prof. James E. Hansen** (*Univ. Columbia, US Academy of Science*)

* *Climate is changing 10 times faster than ever*

→ *Extermination of species, Rising of sea level,
Climatic extreme events (storms, fires, floods...)*

→ *Multiple Man-made stresses → Concerns for next generations*

* *"To those influencing environmental policy but opposed to nuclear power*



Open letter of 4 climate scientists (Nov. 17, 2013)

→ *A plea to fellow environmentalists that nuclear energy
needs to be part of the global climate change solution*

→ *Confidence in technology progress to make nuclear safer,
more efficient and more proliferation resistant*


* *Prof. Hansen advocacies:*

* *Need for a clean energy portfolio standards (not only renewables)*

* *Urgency of a clean air act incentive*

* *Modular reactors, largely factory built / Safety, reduction of cycle time*

* *China to lead, West to cooperate*



Conditions for Successful Deployment Worldwide

* Reliability & Safety

- * *Fukushima accident-proof design* + Enhancement of Emergency preparedness
- * Progress towards *internationally harmonized design codes & safety standards*, QA

* Security (Proliferation resistance, Physical protection...)

- * *Safeguarding by IAEA, Euratom...*
- * *Export control* of sensitive technologies


* Economics

- * *Competitiveness with other energy sources* in spite of rising costs for Gen III reactors
- * *Adapted / customized funding schemes* to favor investments in nuclear in a liberalized energy market

* Sustainability

- * *Minimization of waste burden* → Implementation of HLW repository in some countries
- * *Minimization of environmental impact*
- * *Maximization of use of fuel resources*

Global Nuclear Construction Plans → 700-1500 GWe by 2050?



- Number of reactors planned**
- 10+
 - 1-9
 - Might Build
 - Won't Build

- 443 nuclear reactors operating in 30 countries (372 GWe)
- 66 reactors currently under construction in 15 countries
- 164 reactors planned in 27 countries over next 8-10 years
- 317 reactors proposed in 37 countries over next 15 years

- 45 **new builds** in China (25), Russia (9), India (6) & Korea (5)
- 6 reactors in 3 **newcomer countries**: Belarus (2), Iran (1) & UAE (3)
- **Spent fuel reprocessing**:
 - *Industrial*: France, UK
 - *Developing*: Russia, India, Japan, China, Korea
- **Fast Neutron Reactor Programs**:
 - Russia, India, Japan, France, USA, China, Korea

CONCLUSIONS

Nuclear energy remains an efficient contribution
to fight climate change challenges

Renewables will play a role the magnitude of which
will be controlled by its economical affordability,

Their massive development will depend on
the progress on networks and storage technologies

Beware of dreams!

« il y a des "gloutons d'idées" dont la caractéristique est qu'aussitôt qu'on leur fait briller quelque opinion d'apparence raisonnable, ils se précipitent la bouche ouverte et avalent l'appât, l'hameçon et la ligne, en invoquant ensuite le droit à l'erreur commise de bonne foi... »

« There is a form of intellectual gluttony where , as soon as some apparently reasonable opinion is proposed, some people would jump on it, swallow the worm, the hook and the line, and later would claim the right to commit mistakes in good faith... »

Alain