Carbon Capture and Storage Technology and economy

MIT Electricity Markets Workshop EDF Tower, La Défence Paris July 3, 2008

> Lars Strömberg Vice president R&D Vattenfall AB Stockholm/Berlin



#### Vattenfall has declared that we will reduce our emissions by 50% until 2030 and the remaining until 2050



#### **The Vision**

To show that it is possible to create a coal fired power plant with "zero emissions"

- There are primary technology options commercially available in 2020
- The cost for carbon dioxide reduction is lower than 20
  €ton of CO2
- There are even better technologies available after 2020



**CO<sub>2</sub> free power plant** 

# The CO<sub>2</sub> free Power Plant project

## www.vattenfall.com/ccs



© Vattenfall AB Lars Strömberg Vice president R&D

#### **Communication of CCS**



- The capture part of the chain by far contains the largest cost (80%)
- The transport part of the chain constitutes the longest lead times and most difficult infrastructure
- The storage is the part people have most concerns about.
- Permitting and legislation issues are critical
- The cost is considerable, and demos give higher cost than mature technology

CO<sub>2</sub> free power plant

## Capture technologies





#### **Pre-combustion capture**





#### **Post-combustion capture**



#### O<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> combustion is the preferred option at present





**CO<sub>2</sub> free power plant** 

# Benchmarking of the technology options



#### CO<sub>2</sub> free Power Plant project Benchmarking

- Annual updates of our internal benchmark study
  - Our best knowledge is summarized at each time
- A multitude of other benchmark studies are made
  - ENCAP project
    (RWE, Siemens,
    Alstom, Linde,
    Statoil .....)
  - The EU Technology Platform (ZEP)



VATTENFA

#### **Investment costs for large power plants**

Cost of large power plants with logarithmic trendlines

Lower data from known projects with established cost pattern Upper data from calculated cost of CO2 capture equipment Trendline lower set data calculated. Upper trendline same equation as lower



#### **Specific investment for varying size of plant**





#### CO<sub>2</sub> free Power Plant project CO<sub>2</sub> avoidance costs Investment costs varied





CO<sub>2</sub> free power plant

# Going from visions to reality

#### Lay out of test rig at IVD Stuttgart





#### **CEBra test rig at Jänschwalde**



### **Chalmers Oxyfuel test rig**



#### Chalmers Oxyfuel test rig Radiation characteristics



**Figure 6.10.** Photographs of the of (a) the air flame, (b) the OF 21 flame and (c) the OF 27 flame, 215 mm from the burner inlet.



#### **Chalmers Oxyfuel test rig (Coal and Air)**



#### **Chalmers Oxyfuel test rig (Coal and 27 % oxygen)**



#### **Geometry and mesh**





The computational domain consists of the complete furnace and the flue gas channel to the economizer. The computational mesh consists of 2.3 million cells. Both hexahedral and tetrahedral cells are used. The concentration of cells is denser in the burner area and around the OFA inlets. The super heaters are modelled as infinitesimally thin plates or as a heat sink and porous media.



#### **Temperature profiles**

1.50e+03 1.44e+03 1.38e+03 1.33e+031.27e+03 1.21e+03 1.16e+03 1.10e+03 1.04e+03 9.82e+02 9.25e+02 8.68e+02 8.10e+02 7.52e+02 6.95e+02 6.38e+02 5.80e+02 5.22e+02 4.65e+02 4.08e+02 3.50e+02

Scale in °C, red indicates 1500°C or more, dark blue indicates 350°C or less



Temperature profile in a cross section through the centre of the furnace.



Temperature in three horizontal cross sections trough the burner rows 1,3 and 5 counted from the bottom of the furnace.





#### Heat transfer to the furnace walls

0.00e+00 -1.57e+04 -3.13e+04 -4.70e+04 -6.26e+04 -783e+04 -9.39e+04 -1.10e+05 -1.25e+05 -1.41e+05 -157e+05 -1.72e+05 -1.88e+05 -2.04e+05 -2.19e+05 -2.35e+05 -2.51e+05 -2.66e+05 -2.82e+05 -2.97e+05 -3.13e+05

Surface heat flux, W/m<sup>2.</sup> Note that negative fluxes are fluxes from the furnace, i.e. blue indicates high heat transfer to the walls from the furnace.



Heat transfer to the four walls in the furnace.



### "Zero Emission" ????

Oxyfuel technology:

- It is possible to process the CO<sub>2</sub> after the boiler to reach
  - > 95 % capture rate (100 % possible)
  - > 98 % purity (100 % possible)
- The gases contained in the off gas is beside CO<sub>2</sub> mainly
  - Argon
  - Nitrogen
  - Water vapour
- No sulphur oxides will be emitted
- No nitrogen oxides will be emitted
- All particulates will be removed, including all solid metals and submicron PM
- No sulfur or nitrogen oxides in liquid CO<sub>2</sub>



CO<sub>2</sub> free power plant

# From R&D to the real thing



# 10 years of continuous research – now resulting in several larger CCS projects

Plant	<b>Schwarze Pumpe</b> , Germany	<b>Mongstad</b> , Norway	Altmark Germany	<b>Demoplants</b> , Germany, Denmark, Poland
Туре	Large scale pilot	Large scale pilot	Storage testing, EGR	Demonstration plants
Capacity	30 MW	100 kton CO <sub>2</sub> /a (~35 MW)	100 kton CO <sub>2</sub> (3 yr test phase)	250 - 350 MW
Fuel	Lignite, hard coal	Gas from refinery	-	Hard coal, Lignite
Techn.	Oxyfuel	Post-combustion	EGR,Old gas field, 400Mton	Post-combustion and oxyfuel
Operation	2008	2010	2009	Ca 2015

#### View of the Schwarze Pumpe Pilot Plant June 2008





#### View on building site (June 2008)







#### New components : ASU and CO<sub>2</sub> plant



#### CO<sub>2</sub> plant in detail (June 2008)





#### **Demo plant Jänschwalde - Draft layout**





#### Variants of CO<sub>2</sub>-Reduction Technologies





(Erection beside the existing Boiler)

#### CO<sub>2</sub>-Post Combustion

(behind existing Mono-Boiler)



### View of ASU O<sub>2</sub>-capacity 17.500 to/day (Linde AG)





#### CCS demo project close to Aalborg, Denmark

Vedsted Structure Expected capacity > 100 mio t Transport by pipeline



Nordjyllandsværket

**100% Post Combustion:** 1.8 mio t CO2/år El cap. 372 -> 302 MW Heat cap. 430-> 468 MJ/s Efficiency cond: 47 -> 38 %

#### **Nordjylland Post-combustion demo**





4-6 vibrator trucks 25 ton Distance between vibrations app. 10 m Daily capacity app. 7 km Manning 60 – 80 DALUISO



### Conclusions

- A demo plant costs twice as much as a commercial plant
- A small plant costs more than a large plant
- The technology will not be ready until we have several demos in operation
- The public concern lies in the storage part of the chain, while the cost mainly stems from the power plant

To establish the infrastructure for transport and storage will be critical for the cost and the time needed



## **Conclusions (2)**

# We are on the way to realize our visions

We will make it at a cost around 20 €ton

The technology will not be ready until 2020

The critical line is the seven+ years to realize a demo plant including capture, transport and storage





#### Vattenfall has declared that we will reduce our emissions by 50% until 2030 and the remaining until 2050

